

Group cohomology and efficient methods for group algebras of large p -groups

David J. Green
Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena

ICMS 2016
Berlin, 11th July 2016



seit 1558

Group cohomology: cochains

Let G be a finite group, M a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module.

Definition: n -cochain

$$C^n(G, M) := \{f: G^n \rightarrow M \mid f(g_1, \dots, 1, \dots, g_n) = 0\}.$$

Elements of C^n called (*normalized standard*) n -cochains.

Example: 1-cocycles

Call $f: G \rightarrow M$ a 1-cocycle if $f(g_1 g_2) = g_1 f(g_2) + f(g_1)$.

- So if action on M trivial then

$$1\text{-cocycle} \equiv \text{homomorphism } (G, \cdot) \rightarrow (M, +).$$



More generally:

Coboundary map $\delta^n: C^n(G, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(G, M)$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^n f(g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}) &= g_1 f(g_2, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{n+1}) \\ &+ (-1)^{n+1} f(g_1, \dots, g_n).\end{aligned}$$

One then shows that $\delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n = 0$.



- Cocycles $Z^n(G, M) = \ker \left(C^n \xrightarrow{\delta} C^{n+1} \right)$.
- Coboundaries $B^n(G, M) = \text{Im} \left(C^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta} C^n \right)$.

Example: 2-cocycles

$f: G^2 \rightarrow M$ a 2-cocycle if

$$f(g_1, g_2) + f(g_1 g_2, g_3) = g_1 f(g_2, g_3) + f(g_1, g_2 g_3).$$

This is an associativity condition, c.f. group extensions.

- Since $\delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n = 0$, have $B^n \subseteq Z^n$.
- So can define cohomology $H^n(G, M) := \frac{Z^n(G, M)}{B^n(G, M)}$.



Low dimensional cohomology: classification problems

$H^2(G, M)$ classifies group extensions $1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$.

- Choose $\sigma: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ with $\sigma(1) = 1$ and $\pi\sigma = \text{Id}_G$.
- σ unlikely to be a homomorphism. Define $f: G^2 \rightarrow M$ by

$$i(f(g_1, g_2))\sigma(g_1 g_2) = \sigma(g_1)\sigma(g_2).$$

- Γ associative $\Rightarrow f \in Z^2(G, M)$: the extension class.

Interactions with algebraic topology

If G acts trivially on M then $H^n(G, M) \cong H_{\text{sing}}^n(BG, M)$



Commutative algebra

- If $M = R$, a commutative ring with trivial G -action, then

$$H^*(G, R) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^n(G, R)$$

a graded ring, commutative in the graded sense:

$$x \in H^r, y \in H^s : yx = (-1)^{rs}xy.$$

- Quillen, Dufлот, Benson-Carlson, Symonds: Commutative algebra of $H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ reflects the p -local group theory of G .



How to compute cohomology?

- Description using normalized standard cochains just right for applications ...
- But totally impractical for calculations: Grows way too fast.

Solution: Homological algebra!

- Normalized standard cochains are cochains on one free resolution of the trivial module ...
- But any other projective resolution will do.

- E.g. Cyclic group, order n .
 - r -th term in the normalized standard resolution free of rank $(n-1)^r$.
 - But Cartan-Eilenberg give a periodic resolution.
- Computationally one wants resolution to be as small as possible.



Computing cohomology

Low dimensional, with non-trivial M

Holt, e.g. in GAP.

Medium-dimensional, with $M = \mathbb{Z}$

Geometric constructions for small resolutions, by Ellis and others.

All dimensions, for p -groups with $M = \mathbb{F}_p$

- Construct the *minimal* resolution using linear algebra (Carlson) or non-commutative Gröbner bases (King-G.).
- Carlson and Benson give “tests for completion” that tell you when you have gone far enough.



seit 1558

The importance of p -groups

Let R be a commutative ring.

Transfer theory

- $|G| \cdot H^n(G, R) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- So $H^{>0}(G, R)$ splits as direct sum of p -local pieces.

Stable elements (Artin-Tate)

$H^{>0}(G, R)_{(p)}$ the *stable* elements in $H^{>0}(P, R)$.

- P a Sylow p -subgroup of G
- Stable means that $x \in H^n(P, R)$ satisfies a condition on its restriction to $P \cap P^g$, for each $g \in G$.

Holt (1985): Testing stability is computationally feasible.
Start at $N_G(P)$ and climb up a tower of subgroups to G .



From now on: Want $H^n(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ for P a p -group

- Coefficients a field $\Rightarrow \exists$ *minimal* projective resolution.
- And since P a p -group: projective = free.
- Free modules are easier to compute with!
- Need to iterate following key step:

Key step

- Given: A map $d: (\mathbb{F}_p P)^s \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}_p P)^r$.
- Want: Minimal generators for $\ker(d)$.



Performing the key step

The representation question

How to represent group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p P$ and the d on a computer?

Carlson: Linear algebra

- $\mathbb{F}_p P$ is a $|P|$ -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_p .
- Group generators act on $\mathbb{F}_p P$. Record action matrices.
- Represent d by its matrix. Dimensions: $s|P| \times r|P|$.
- Kernel is nullspace of this matrix.
- For minimal generators, use group generator matrices to compute radical of kernel.



seit 1558

Gröbner bases with action matrices

- Like Carlson, store those group generator action matrices.
- So calculations in $\mathbb{F}_p P$ are instantaneous.
- But store d as list of module generator images: $s \times r |P|$.
- Kernel: Two-speed Buchberger with elimination ordering.
- Minimal generators: Weak version of Faugère F5.
- Have to use a *local* ordering.

Requires a **local** term ordering

- For minimal generators, need algebra generators in radical.
- Gröbner basis for $\mathbb{F}_p P$ too big with a well-ordering.



Achievements

Carlson (2003)

All 267 groups of order 64.

King-G. (2011)

All 2328 groups of order 128.

Adem-Carlson-Karagueuzian-Milgram (2001)

Sylow 2-subgroup (order 2^9) of Higman-Sims group HS .

King-Ellis-G. (2011)

Sylow 2-subgroup (order 2^{10}) of Conway group Co_3 .



seit 1558

Sylow 2-subgroup (order 2^9) of unitary group $SU_3(8)$

- Essential ideal might have nilpotency class > 2 .
- Benson's test: Completion only attained in degree 46.
- n -th term in minimal resolution grows as n^2 .
- Already pitifully slow in degree 22.
- Oehme: Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence does not collapse at E_2 .
- Complicated ring structure: Sylow 2-subgroup of $SU_3(4)$ was hardest group of order 64.



Sylow 2-subgroup (order 2^{10}) of Mathieu group M_{24}

- n^{th} term in minimal resolution grows as n^5 .
- 12^{th} term free of rank 1,298; and 13^{th} has rank 1,726.
- So storing this d requires 287 MB.
- Still finding new generators in these degrees, and ...
- Generator in deg $n \Rightarrow$ completion no earlier than deg $2n$.

Difficulties in these two cases:

- Size of “basic unit” $\mathbb{F}_p P$.
- Need large initial segment of minimal resolution.
- And the resolution grows too fast.



What about larger p -groups?

If $|P|$ much bigger than 2^{10} then ...

- Can't hope to compute ring $H^*(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$ any time soon.
- But for small n can try for $H^n(P, \mathbb{F}_p)$.
- $H^4(G, \mathbb{Z}), H^4(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$: applications in conformal field theory.

By the way: If you're wondering about odd primes ...

- We haven't even finished $|P| = 3^5$ yet!
- Basic unit $\mathbb{F}_p P$ larger, and you need to go a lot further.



seit 1558

Twisting the Drinfeld double

The twisted quantum double $D^\eta(G)$

Dijkgraaf-Pasquier-Roche: A quasi-Hopf algebra defined by G and a 3-cocycle $\eta \in Z^3(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$.

Dimension shifting

- Short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i _)} \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow 1$ induces long exact sequence in cohomology.
- Combine this with $|G| H^n(G, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ to get $H^n(G, \mathbb{C}) = 0$,

$$\text{whence} \quad H^n(G, \mathbb{C}^\times) \cong H^{n+1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

So $H^4(G, \mathbb{Z})$ parametrizes twisted quantum doubles of G .



Connectivity of cohomology

Definition: Cohomological connectivity

Set $n_0(G, R) := \min\{n \geq 1 \mid H^n(G, R) \neq 0\}$, $n_0(G) = n_0(G, \mathbb{Z})$.

Properties of connectivity

- $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. Hence

$$n_0(G) \geq 2; \quad \text{equality} \Leftrightarrow [G, G] \not\leq G.$$

- So G simple $\Rightarrow n_0(G) \geq 3$.
- Milgram (2000): $n_0(M_{23}) = 6$: highest known connectivity.

$$H^6(M_{23}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{F}_7 \qquad H^7(M_{23}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{F}_2.$$



Cohomology sequence induced by $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p \times} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0$

- If $H^{n-1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then

$$H^{n-1}(G, \mathbb{F}_p) = \{x \in H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}) \mid px = 0\}.$$

- So $n_0(G) = 1 + \min\{n_0(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \mid p \text{ a prime dividing } |G|\}$.
- That's how Milgram did M_{23} .

Can still aim to determine $n_0(G)$ even if Sylow subgroups large.



Janko group J_4 : action matrices not an option

- Known: $n_0(J_4, \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]) = 6$.
- Mason (2007) asks whether $n_0(J_4) = 6$.
- To decide, need $H^n(J_4, \mathbb{F}_2)$ for $n \leq 4$.
- Sylow 2-subgroup has order 2^{21} .
- **Action matrices not an option!** Each weighs 550GB.

Monster group M

- Mason, Ganter: 2- and 3-primary parts of $H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$?
- If non-zero, then $H^3(M, \mathbb{F}_2)$, $H^3(M, \mathbb{F}_3)$ non-zero.
- Sylows have size 2^{46} , 3^{20} .



Cohomology without action matrices?

That's easy . . .

You just need a Gröbner basis for $\mathbb{F}_p P$.

One approach: Power-commutator presentations

- Standard method in computational p -group theory.
- If $|G| = p^n$, then n generators g_1, \dots, g_n .
- Each p^{th} power and each commutator described in terms of later generators.
- C.f. Jennings series of P , and Loewy layers of $\mathbb{F}_p P$.
- R. Müller-G.: Turn pc-presentation of P into Gröbner basis for $\mathbb{F}_p P$, with generators in radical.



seit 1558

Does it work for J_4 ?

Good news

Construction of \mathbb{F}_2P nearly instantaneous.

- Use GAP for group theory; then construct \mathbb{F}_2P in Plural from Sage.
- Group algebra has 21 weighted generators; ordering negwdeglex; highest weight 8.

Bad news

Can't even get second term in resolution, let alone the fourth.

- Elimination for kernel of map $(\mathbb{F}_2P)^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2P$ takes forever: support explodes.



seit 1558

Potential workaround?

Try presenting \mathbb{F}_2P with minimal generators (5 rather than 21).

- Need more work to get Gröbner basis for \mathbb{F}_2P .
- Longest standard monomial has length 71.
- Gröbner basis will be shorter.



seit 1558